



Grant program: GIVE
[GIVE 365 grantee?](#)

Past

Review team & reviewer initials:

Applicant organization:

The applicant has been vetted for basic grant eligibility. This evaluation tool is meant to ensure that all review team members are evaluating the same criteria. Scores are not meant to produce rankings based upon numerical scores. This tool is meant to offer a beginning context for discussion. Grant Review Teams are asked to bring your completed printouts to the team meeting.

APPLICATION EVALUATION

Based on the organization's application responses, please rate the following statements. The maximum points allowed for the evaluation criterion are listed at the end of each statement. Refer to the corresponding application questions and the self-reported data in the organization's LIVEGIVEmidsouth.org profile.	
	The nonprofit's programs align with its mission. (5 points)
	The organization demonstrates how its board and staff effectively represent, involve, and sustain the population(s) it serves in its decision making. (25 points)
	The funding request clearly addresses the grant theme, <i>Nourished Communities supports initiatives that build food access and community connection through homegrown solutions.</i> (25 points)
	GIVE 365 funds will have a meaningful impact on the organization or program. (Funds can help complete amount needed to implement project or help leverage further investment.) (20 points)
	The project/program/organization will have positive effects on <i>the communities/individuals it seeks to impact</i>, and there is a clear method for measuring success. (Applicant has clearly defined success. Program/project meets an immediate need or overcomes an immediate challenge) (25 points)
	GRAND TOTAL (out of 100)
COMMENTS:	

Please make notes for Community Foundation staff to share with applicants. (Your comments are anonymous.) Feedback helps reviewers eliminate bias in our evaluations because we can articulate why we scored the way we did. Additionally, it can be an important part of building capacity for nonprofits. Are there details that should have been included in the application that were not? Were there elements of the application that gave you doubts? What other useful information should be shared with the applicant?